

## Supplementary material

Search PubMed systematic review distal radius#

(#1 AND #2 AND #3 NOT #4) AND (English[Language] OR German[Language] OR Dutch[Language]) AND ("1990"[Publication Date] : "3000"[Publication Date])

#1

activities of daily living[MeSH Terms] OR "activities of daily living"[Text Word] OR "activities of daily life"[Text Word] OR "physical activity"[Text Word] OR "physical function "[Text Word] OR "functional ability"[Text Word] OR "everyday functioning"[Text Word] OR "functional status"[Text Word] OR "function"[Text Word] OR "physical impairment"[Text Word] OR "after hand therapy"[Text Word] OR "Boston questionnaire" [Text Word] OR "Brigham and Women's carpal tunnel questionnaire"[Text Word] OR "DASH"[Text Word] OR "disabilities of arm, shoulder and hand"[Text Word] OR "quick DASH"[Text Word] OR "forearm symptom severity scale"[Text Word] OR "functional index"[Text Word] OR "Gartland and Werley scoring system"[Text Word] OR "Green and O'Brien"[Text Word] OR "Michigan Hand outcomes Questionnaire"[Text Word] OR "MHQ"[Text Word] OR "New York Orthopedic Hospital wrist rating system"[Text Word] OR "patient focused wrist outcome"[Text Word] OR "patient outcomes of surgery-hand/arm"[Text Word] OR "POS-hand/arm"[Text Word] OR "patient rated wrist evaluation"[Text Word] OR "PRWE"[Text Word] OR "sequential occupational dexterity assessment"[Text Word] OR "SODA"[Text Word]

#2

radius fractures[MeSH Terms] OR colles' fracture[MeSH Terms] OR "radius fractures"[Text Word] OR "colles's fracture"[Text Word] OR "colles' fracture"[Text Word] OR "colles fracture"[Text Word] OR "distal radius fracture"[Text Word] OR "wrist fracture"[Text Word] OR "antebrachial fracture"[Text Word] OR "distal radial fracture"[Text Word] OR "radial fracture"[Text Word] OR "forearm fracture"[Text Word] OR "fore-arm fracture"[Text Word] OR "distal forearm fracture"[Text Word] OR "smith fracture"[Text Word] OR "smith's fracture"[Text Word] OR "smiths fracture"[Text Word] OR "barton's fracture"[Text Word] OR "barton fracture"[Text Word] OR "bartons fracture"[Text Word] OR "chauffeur fracture"[Text Word] OR "chauffeurs fracture"[Text Word] OR "chauffeur's fracture"[Text Word]

#3

(instrumentation[sh] OR methods[sh] OR "Validation Studies"[pt] OR "Comparative Study"[pt] OR "psychometrics" [MeSH] OR psychometr\*[tiab] OR clinimetr\*[tw] OR clinometr\*[tw] OR "outcome assessment (health care)"[MeSH] OR "outcome assessment"[tiab] OR "outcome measure\*"[tw] OR "observer variation"[MeSH] OR "observer variation"[tiab] OR "Health Status Indicators"[Mesh] OR "reproducibility of results"[MeSH] OR reproducib\* [tiab] OR "discriminant

analysis"[MeSH] OR reliab\*[tiab] OR unreliab\*[tiab] OR valid\*[tiab] OR "coefficientofvariation"[tiab] OR coefficient[tiab] OR homogeneity[tiab] OR homogeneous[tiab] OR "internal consistency"[tiab] OR (cronbach\*[tiab] AND (alpha[tiab] OR alphas[tiab])) OR (item[tiab] AND (correlation\*[tiab] OR selection\*[tiab] OR reduction\*[tiab])) OR agreement[tw] OR precision[tw] OR imprecision[tw] OR "precise values"[tw] OR test-retest[tiab] OR (test[tiab] AND retest[tiab]) OR (reliab\*[tiab] AND (test[tiab] OR retest[tiab])) OR stability[tiab] OR interrater[tiab] OR inter-rater[tiab] OR intrarater[tiab] OR intra-rater[tiab] OR intertester[tiab] OR inter-tester[tiab] OR intratester[tiab] OR intra-tester[tiab] OR interobserver[tiab] OR inter-observer[tiab] OR intraobserver[tiab] OR intra-observer[tiab] OR intertechnician[tiab] OR inter-technician [tiab] OR intratechnician[tiab] OR intra-technician[tiab] OR interexaminer[tiab] OR inter-examiner[tiab] OR intraexamine r[tiab] OR intra-examiner[tiab] OR interassay [tiab] OR inter-assay[tiab] OR intraassay[tiab] OR intra-assay[tiab] OR interindividual[tiab] OR inter-individual [tiab] OR intraindividual[tiab] OR intra-individual[tiab] OR interparticipant[tiab] OR inter-participant[tiab] OR intraparticipant[tiab] OR intra-participant[tiab] OR kappa [tiab] OR kappa's[tiab] OR kappas[tiab] OR repeatab\*[tw] OR ((replicab\*[tw] OR repeated[tw]) AND (measure[tw] OR measures[tw] OR findings[tw] OR result[tw] OR results[tw] OR test[tw] OR tests[tw])) OR generaliza\*[tiab] OR generalisa\*[tiab] OR concordance[tiab] OR (intraclass[tiab] AND correlation\*[tiab]) OR discriminative[tiab] OR "known group"[tiab] OR "factor analysis"[tiab] OR "factor analyses"[tiab] OR "factor structure"[tiab] OR "factor structures"[tiab] OR dimension\*[tiab] OR subscale\*[tiab] OR (multitrait[tiab] AND scaling[tiab] AND (analysis[tiab] OR analyses[tiab])) OR "item discriminant"[tiab] OR "interscale correlation\*"[tiab] OR error[tiab] OR errors[tiab] OR "individual variability"[tiab] OR "interval variability"[tiab] OR "rate variability"[tiab] OR (variability[tiab] AND (analysis[tiab] OR values[tiab])) OR (uncertainty[tiab] AND (measurement [tiab] OR measuring[tiab])) OR "standard error of measurement" [tiab] OR sensitiv\*[tiab] OR responsive\*[tiab] OR (limit[tiab] AND detection[tiab]) OR "minimal detectable concentration"[tiab] OR interpretab\*[tiab] OR ((minimal[tiab] OR minimally[tiab] OR clinical[tiab] OR clinically[tiab]) AND (important[tiab] OR significant[tiab] OR detectable[tiab]) AND (change[tiab] OR difference[tiab])) OR (small\*[tiab] AND (real[tiab] OR detectable[tiab]) AND (change[tiab] OR difference[tiab])) OR "meaningful change"[tiab] OR "ceiling effect"[tiab] OR "floor effect"[tiab] OR "Item response model"[tiab] OR IRT[tiab] OR Rasch[tiab] OR "Differential item functioning"[tiab] OR DIF[tiab] OR "computer adaptive testing"[tiab] OR "item bank"[tiab] OR "cross-cultural equivalence"[tiab])

#4 (NOT)

("addresses"[Publication Type] OR "biography" [Publication Type] OR "case reports"[Publication Type] OR

“comment”[Publication Type] OR “directory”[Publication Type] OR “editorial”[Publication Type] OR “festschrift”[Publication Type] OR “interview”[Publication Type] OR “lectures”[Publication Type] OR “legal cases”[Publication Type] OR “legislation”[Publication Type] OR “letter”[Publication Type] OR “news”[Publication Type] OR “newspaper article”[Publication Type] OR “patient education handout”[Publication Type] OR “popular works”[Publication Type] OR “congresses”[Publication Type] OR “consensus development conference”[Publication Type] OR “consensus development conference, nih”[Publication Type] OR “practice guideline”[Publication Type] NOT (“animals”[MeSH Terms] NOT “humans”[MeSH Terms])

Search EMBase systematic review distal radius# (597 hits)

Limits:

Publication Date (1990-present)

Language: (English, Dutch, German)

#1

exp recreation/ OR exp daily life activity/ OR exp physical capacity/ OR activities of daily living OR activities of daily life OR physical activity OR physical function OR functional ability OR everyday functioning OR functional status OR function OR physical impairment OR after hand therapy OR Boston questionnaire OR Brigham Womens carpal tunnel questionnaire OR DASH OR quick DASH OR forearm symptom severity scale OR functional index OR Gartland Werley scoring system OR Green Brien OR Michigan Hand outcomes Questionnaire OR MHQ OR New York Orthopedic Hospital wrist rating system OR patient focused wrist outcome OR patient outcomes of surgery-hand/arm OR POS-hand/arm OR patient rated wrist evaluation OR PRWE OR sequential occupational dexterity assessment OR SODA

#2

exp radius fracture/ OR exp colles fracture/ OR exp forearm fracture/ OR exp wrist fracture/ OR radius fracture\* OR colles fracture\* OR distal radius fracture OR wrist fracture\* OR antebrachial fracture\* OR radial fracture\* OR forearm fracture\* OR smith fracture\* OR smiths fracture\* OR barton fracture\* OR bartons fracture\* OR chauffeur fracture\* OR chauffeurs fracture\*

#3

(instrumentation OR methods OR Validation Studies OR Comparative Study OR psychometrics OR psychometr\* OR clinimetr\* OR clinometr\* OR outcome assessment OR “outcome assessment” OR outcome measure\* OR “observer variation” OR observer variation OR “Health Status Indicators” OR “reproducibility of results” OR reproducib\* OR “discriminant analysis” OR reliab\* OR unreliab\* OR valid\* OR coefficient OR homogeneity OR homogeneous OR “internal consistency” OR (cronbach\* AND (alpha OR alphas)) OR (item AND

(correlation\* OR selection\* OR reduction\*)) OR agreement OR precision OR imprecision OR “precise values” OR test-retest OR (test AND retest) OR (reliab\* AND (test OR retest)) OR stability OR interrater OR inter-rater OR intrarater OR intra-rater OR intertester OR inter-tester OR intratester OR intra-tester OR interobserver OR inter-observer OR intraobserver OR intraobserver OR intertechnician OR inter-technician OR intratechnician OR intra-technician OR interexaminer OR inter-examiner OR intraexaminer OR intra-examiner OR interassay OR inter-assay OR intraassay OR intra-assay OR interindividual OR inter-individual OR intraindividual OR intra-individual OR interparticipant OR inter-participant OR intraparticipant OR intra-participant OR kappa OR repeatab\* OR ((replicab\* OR repeated) AND (measure OR measures OR findings OR result OR results OR test OR tests)) OR generaliza\* OR generalisa\* OR concordance OR (intraclass AND correlation\*) OR discriminative OR “known group” OR factor analysis OR factor analyses OR dimension\* OR subscale\* OR (multitrait AND scaling AND (analysis OR analyses)) OR item discriminant OR interscale correlation\* OR error OR errors OR “individual variability” OR (variability AND (analysis OR values)) OR (uncertainty AND (measurement OR measuring)) OR “standard error of measurement” OR sensitiv\* OR responsive\* OR ((minimal OR minimally OR clinical OR clinically) AND (important OR significant OR detectable) AND (change OR difference)) OR (small\* AND (real OR detectable) AND (change OR difference)) OR meaningful change OR “ceiling effect” OR “floor effect” OR “Item response model” OR IRT OR Rasch OR “Differential item functioning” OR DIF OR “computer adaptive testing” OR “item bank” OR “cross-cultural equivalence”

#4 (NOT)

“Not applicable”

Search CINAHL + PsycINFO systematic review distal radius#

Limits: 1990-present

English/Dutch/German

#1

(“activities of daily living\*” OR “activities of daily life\*” OR “physical activity\*” OR “physical function\*” OR “functional ability\*” OR “everyday functioning\*” OR “functional status\*” OR “function\*” OR “physical impairment\*” OR “after hand therapy\*” OR “Boston questionnaire\*” OR “Brigham and Womens\* carpal tunnel questionnaire\*” OR “DASH” OR “disabilities of arm, shoulder and hand” OR “quick DASH” OR “forearm symptom severity scale\*” OR “functional index\*” OR “Gartland and Werley scoring system” OR “Green and Brien” OR “Michigan Hand outcomes Questionnaire” OR “MHQ” OR “New York Orthopedic Hospital wrist rating system” OR “patient focused wrist outcome” OR “patient outcomes of surgery-hand/arm” OR “POS-hand/arm” OR “patient rated wrist evaluation” OR “PRWE” OR “sequential occupational dexterity assessment” OR “SODA”)

#2

("radius fracture\*" OR "colles fracture\*" OR "distal radius fracture" OR "wrist fracture\*" OR "antebrachial fracture\*" OR "distal radial fracture" OR "radial fracture" OR "forearm fracture\*" OR "smith fracture\*" OR "smiths fracture\*" OR "barton fracture\*" OR "bartons fracture\*" OR "chauffeur fracture\*" OR "chauffeurs fracture\*")

#3

((instrumentation OR methods OR Validation Studies OR Comparative Study OR psychometrics OR psychometr\* OR clinimetr\* OR clinometr\* OR outcome assessment OR "outcome assessment" OR outcome measure\* OR "observer variation" OR observer variation OR "Health Status Indicators" OR "reproducibility of results" OR reproducib\* OR "discriminant analysis" OR reliab\* OR unreliab\* OR valid\* OR coefficient OR homogeneity OR homogeneous OR "internal consistency" OR (cronbach\* AND (alpha OR alphas)) OR (item AND (correlation\* OR selection\* OR reduction\*)) OR agreement OR precision OR imprecision OR "precise values" OR test-retest OR (test AND retest) OR (reliab\* AND (test OR retest)) OR stability OR interrater OR inter-rater OR intrarater OR intra-rater OR intertester OR inter-tester OR intratester OR intra-tester OR interobserver OR inter-observer OR intraobserver OR intraobserver OR intertechnician OR inter-technician OR intratechnician OR intra-technician OR interexaminer OR inter-examiner OR intraexaminer OR intra-examiner OR interassay OR inter-assay OR intraassay OR intra-assay OR interindividual OR inter-individual OR intraindividual OR

intra-individual OR interparticipant OR inter-participant OR intraparticipant OR intra-participant OR kappa OR repeatab\* OR ((replicab\* OR repeated) AND (measure OR measures OR findings OR result OR results OR test OR tests)) OR generaliza\* OR generalisa\* OR concordance OR (intraclass AND correlation\*) OR discriminative OR "known group" OR factor analysis OR factor analyses OR dimension\* OR subscale\* OR (multitrait AND scaling AND (analysis OR analyses)) OR item discriminant OR interscale correlation\* OR error OR errors OR "individual variability" OR (variability AND (analysis OR values)) OR (uncertainty AND (measurement OR measuring)) OR "standard error of measurement" OR sensitiv\* OR responsive\* OR ((minimal OR minimally OR clinical OR clinically) AND (important OR significant OR detectable) AND (change OR difference)) OR (small\* AND (real OR detectable) AND (change OR difference)) OR meaningful change OR "ceiling effect" OR "floor effect" OR "Item response model" OR IRT OR Rasch OR "Differential item functioning" OR DIF OR "computer adaptive testing" OR "item bank" OR "cross-cultural equivalence"))

#4 (NOT)

((addresses OR biography OR "case reports" OR comment OR directory OR editorial OR festschrift OR interview OR lectures OR "legal cases" OR legislation OR letter OR news OR "newspaper article" OR "patient education handout" OR "popular works" OR congresses OR "consensus development conference" OR "consensus development conference", nih OR practice guideline) NOT (animals NOT humans))

Table i.1-12.

	PRWE <sup>1</sup>	PRWE <sup>2</sup>	PRWE <sup>3</sup>	PRWE <sup>4</sup>	PRWE <sup>5</sup>	PRWE <sup>6</sup>	PRWE <sup>7</sup>	PRWE <sup>8</sup>	PRWE <sup>9</sup>	PRWE <sup>10</sup>	DASH <sup>4</sup>	DASH <sup>11</sup>	DASH <sup>12</sup>	DASH <sup>6</sup>
Generalisability	Fair	Fair	Fair	Poor	Fair	Excel	Poor	Fair	Fair	Fair	Poor	Fair	Good	Excel
Median or mean age	4	4	3	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4
Distribution of gender	4	4	2	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4
Disease character	2	2	2	4	4	4	2	2	4	4	4	4	4	4
Setting described	2	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	2	4	4
Countries performed	4	4	4	3	4	4	4	4	4	4	3	3	3	4
Language	3	4	3	3	4	4	4	4	4	4	3	4	4	4
Selecting patients	2	2	4	1	2	4	1	4	4	4	1	4	4	4
Response rate	2	2	3	2	2	4	2	2	2	2	2	4	4	4
InternalConsistency	Poor	Poor			Fair	Poor	Poor	Poor	Poor	Poor		Poor	Poor	Poor
% missing items	4	3			4	3	3	3	3	4		4	3	3
Handling items	2	2			4	2	2	4	2	4		3	2	2
Sample size	4	2			3	1	3	3	3	4		4	3	1
Factor analysis	1	1			2	1	1	1	1	1		1	1	1
Sample factor analysis	n/a	n/a			n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	3		n/a	n/a	n/a
Statistic subscales	n/a	n/a			n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	3		n/a	n/a	n/a
Flaws in design	4	4			4	4	4	4	4	4		4	4	4
Cronbach's alpha	1	4			4	4	4	4	4	4		4	4	4
Dichotomous scores	n/a	n/a			n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a		n/a	n/a	n/a
Chi-squared reliability coefficient	n/a	n/a			n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a		n/a	n/a	n/a
Reliability		Fair	Poor		Fair	Poor	Fair	Poor	Fair				Fair	Poor
% missing items		3	3		4	3	3	3	3	4			3	3
Handling items		2	2		4	2	2	4	2	4			2	2
Sample size		2	1		2	1	3	1	3	4			3	1
Two measurements		4	4		4	4	4	4	4	4			4	4
Independent admin		3	4		2	4	3	4	4	4			4	4
Time interval stated		4	4		4	4	4	4	4	4			4	4

(continued)

**Table i.** (continued)

	PRWE <sup>1</sup>	PRWE <sup>2</sup>	PRWE <sup>3</sup>	PRWE <sup>4</sup>	PRWE <sup>5</sup>	PRWE <sup>6</sup>	PRWE <sup>7</sup>	PRWE <sup>8</sup>	PRWE <sup>9</sup>	PRWE <sup>10</sup>	DASH <sup>4</sup>	DASH <sup>11</sup>	DASH <sup>12</sup>	DASH <sup>6</sup>
Patients stable		2	4		2	3	3	3	3	1			3	3
Time interval		4	4		2	4	4	4	4	1			4	4
Conditions similar		3	2		3	4	4	1	2	3			3	4
Flaws in design		4	4		4	4	4	4	4	4			4	4
Intraclass correlation coefficient		4	4		3	4	4	4	4	n/a			4	4
Kappa*		n/a	n/a		n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a			n/a	n/a
MeasurementError#									Fair	Poor				
Standard error of the mean/smallest detectable change									4	1				
Content validity (box D)			Fair					Good						
Relevance construct			4					4						
Relevance population			2					3						
Relevance purpose			4					4						
comprehensive			4					4						
Flaws in design			4					4						
Hypotheses testing		Fair			Good		Fair	Fair	Poor			Fair		
% missing items		3			4		3	3	3			4		
Handling items		2			4		2	4	2			2		
Sample size		2			3		3	3	3			4		
Hypotheses <i>a priori</i>		4			4		4	4	1			4		
Direction correlation		4			4		4	4	3			4		
Magnitude correlation		3			3		4	3	3			4		
Comparator instrument		4			4		4	4	4			4		

PRWE, patient-related wrist evaluation; DASH, Disabilities of the Arm, Shoulder and Hand

**Table ii.** Methodological quality of the studies on measurement properties. 4=excellent, 3=good, 2=fair, 1=poor, n/a=not applicable, Excel = excellent<sup>1-10</sup>.

	PRWE <sup>1</sup>	PRWE <sup>2</sup>	PRWE <sup>3</sup>	PRWE <sup>4</sup>	PRWE <sup>5</sup>	PRWE <sup>6</sup>	PRWE <sup>7</sup>	PRWE <sup>8</sup>	PRWE <sup>9</sup>	PRWE <sup>10</sup>	DASH <sup>4</sup>	DASH <sup>36</sup>	DASH <sup>48</sup>	DASH <sup>34</sup>
Properties comparator		3			4		4	2	2			2		
Flaws in design		4			4		4	4	4			4		
Statistical methods		3			3		4	3	3			4		
Cross-cultural				Fair			Poor	Poor	Poor					
% missing items				4			3	3	3					
Handling items				4			2	4	2					
Sample size				3			1	1	1					
Both languages				4			4	4	4					
Expertise adequate				3			4	4	4					
Translators independent				3			4	1	4					
Forward/backward				4			4	1	4					
Difference resolving				4			4	4	3					
Review committee				4			4	3	4					
Instrument pre-tested				4			2	4	4					
Sample pre-test				2			4	4	4					
Samples similar				3			4	4	3					
Flaws in design				4			4	4	4					
Confirm analysis				n/a			1	1	1					
Differential function				n/a			n/a	n/a	n/a					
Responsiveness			Fair	Fair	Fair		Fair	Fair	Poor		Fair		Fair	
% missing items			3	3	4		3	3	3		3		3	
Handling items			2	2	4		2	4	2		2		2	
Sample size			4	3	3		3	3	3		3		3	
Two measurements			4	4	4		4	4	4		4		4	
Time interval stated			4	4	4		4	4	4		4		4	
Anything occurred			3	3	2		2	3	2		3		2	
Patient changed			3	3	2		3	3	3		3		3	
Hypotheses <i>a priori</i>			4	n/a	n/a		4	4	1		n/a		n/a	
Direction correlation			4	n/a	n/a		4	4	3		n/a		n/a	
Magnitude correlation			3	n/a	n/a		4	3	3		n/a		n/a	
Comparator instrument			2	n/a	n/a		4	4	4		n/a		n/a	
Properties comparator			4	n/a	n/a		4	2	4		n/a		n/a	
Flaws in design			4	n/a	n/a		4	4	4		n/a		n/a	
Statistical methods			4	n/a	n/a		2	2	1		n/a		n/a	
Benchmark			n/a	n/a	n/a		n/a	n/a	n/a		n/a		n/a	
Flaws in design			n/a	n/a	n/a		n/a	n/a	n/a		n/a		n/a	
Area under the curve calculated			n/a	n/a	n/a		n/a	n/a	n/a		n/a		n/a	

(continued)

**Table ii.** (continued)

	PRWE <sup>1</sup>	PRWE <sup>2</sup>	PRWE <sup>3</sup>	PRWE <sup>4</sup>	PRWE <sup>5</sup>	PRWE <sup>6</sup>	PRWE <sup>7</sup>	PRWE <sup>8</sup>	PRWE <sup>9</sup>	PRWE <sup>10</sup>	DASH <sup>4</sup>	DASH <sup>36</sup>	DASH <sup>48</sup>	DASH <sup>34</sup>
Sensitivity/specificity		n/a	n/a	n/a		n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a		n/a	
Interpretability				Poor					Poor		Poor	Poor		
% missing items				4					3		3	4		
Handling items				4					2		4	4		
Sample size				3					3		3	4		
Distribution total score				4					4		2	4		
Floor effect				4					4		4	1		
Ceiling effect				4					4		4	1		
Change scores				4					4		2	4		
MIC				1					1		1	4		
Flaws in design				4					4		4	4		

PRWE, patient-related wrist evaluation; DASH, Disabilities of the Arm, Shoulder and Hand.

**Table iii.** Methodological quality of the studies on measurement properties. 4=excellent, 3=good, 2=fair, 1=poor, n/a=not applicable, Excel = excellent.\*Could not be determined due to high ceiling effect; # The first ten questions of the measurement property reliability and measurement error are the same<sup>4,6,13-19</sup>.

	MHQ <sup>13</sup>	MHQ <sup>14</sup>	MHQ <sup>15</sup>	SF36 <sup>4</sup>	SF36 <sup>16</sup>	PEM <sup>17</sup>	IOF <sup>18</sup>	PFW <sup>19</sup>	AIMS2 <sup>16</sup>	BWH <sup>16</sup>	TSK <sup>6</sup>	CAT <sup>6</sup>	SES <sup>6</sup>
Generalisability	Fair	Fair	Poor	Poor	Fair	Poor	Fair	Fair	Fair	Fair	Excel	Excel	Excel
Median or mean age	4	4	1	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4
Distribution of gender	4	4	1	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4
Disease character	4	2	1	4	2	2	4	2	2	2	4	4	4
Setting described	4	4	4	4	4	1	4	4	4	4	4	4	4
Countries performed	3	4	4	3	3	3	4	4	3	3	4	4	4
Language	4	4	3	3	3	3	3	4	3	3	4	4	4
Selecting patients	4	2	1	1	4	2	2	4	4	4	4	4	4
Response rate	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	3	2	2	4	4	4
Internal Consistency						Poor	Poor				Poor	Poor	Poor
% missing items						3	3				3	3	3
Handling items						2	4				2	2	2
Sample size						4	4				1	1	1
Factor analysis						1	1				1	1	1
Sample factor analysis						n/a	n/a				n/a	n/a	n/a
Statistic subscales						n/a	n/a				4	4	4
Flaws in design						4	4				4	4	4
Cronbach's alpha						4	4				4	4	4
Dichotomous scores						n/a	n/a				n/a	n/a	n/a
chi-squared reliability coefficient						n/a	n/a				n/a	n/a	n/a
Reliability							Poor				Poor	Poor	Poor
% missing items							3				3	3	3
Handling items							4				2	2	2
Sample size							1				1	1	1
Two measurements							4				4	4	4
Independent admin							4				4	4	4
Time interval stated							4				4	4	4
Patients stable							3				3	3	3
Time interval							4				4	4	4
Conditions similar							3				4	4	4
Flaws in design							4				4	4	4
Intraclass correlation coefficient							n/a				4	4	4
Kappa							n/a				n/a	n/a	n/a
Weighted K							n/a				n/a	n/a	n/a
Weighting scheme							n/a				n/a	n/a	n/a
Content validity													
Relevance construct													
Relevance population													
Relevance purpose													
comprehensive													
Flaws in design													
Hypotheses testing						Poor		Poor					
% missing items						3		3					
Handling items						2		2					

(continued)

**Table iii.** (continued)

	MHQ <sup>13</sup>	MHQ <sup>14</sup>	MHQ <sup>15</sup>	SF36 <sup>4</sup>	SF36 <sup>16</sup>	PEM <sup>17</sup>	IOF <sup>18</sup>	PFW <sup>19</sup>	AIMS2 <sup>16</sup>	BWH <sup>16</sup>	TSK <sup>6</sup>	CAT <sup>6</sup>	SES <sup>6</sup>
Sample size						4		1					
Hypotheses <i>a priori</i>						1		4					
Direction correlation						3		4					
Magnitude correlation						3		4					
Comparator instrument						1		4					

PRWE, Patient-Rated Wrist Evaluation; DASH, Disabilities of Arm, Shoulder and Hand; MHQ, Michigan Hand Questionnaire; SF-36, Short Form-36; PEM, Patient Evaluation Measure; AIMS2, Arthritis Impact Measurement Scale; BWH-CTQ, Brigham and Women’s Hospital Carpal Tunnel Questionnaire; IOF-WFQ International Osteoporosis Foundation Wrist Fracture Questionnaire; PFW, Patient Focused Wrist Outcome Instrument; TSK, Tampa Scale of Kinesophobia; CAT, Catastrophizing Subscale of the Coping Strategies Questionnaire; SES Self-Efficacy Scale

**Table iv.** Methodological quality of the studies on measurement properties. 4=excellent, 3=good, 2=fair, 1=poor, n/a=not applicable, Excel = excellent<sup>4,6,16,18-23</sup>

	MHQ <sup>19</sup>	MHQ <sup>20</sup>	MHQ <sup>21</sup>	SF36 <sup>4</sup>	SF36 <sup>16</sup>	PEM <sup>22</sup>	IOF <sup>18</sup>	PFW <sup>23</sup>	AIMS2 <sup>16</sup>	BWH <sup>16</sup>	TSK <sup>6</sup>	CAT <sup>6</sup>	SES <sup>6</sup>
Properties comparator						1		3					
Flaws in design						4		4					
Statistical methods						3		3					
Cross cultural													
% missing items													
Handling items													
Sample size													
Both languages													
Expertise adequate													
Translators independent													
Forward/backward													
Difference resolving													
Review committee													
Instrument re-tested													
Sample pre-test													
Samples similar													
Flaws in design													
Confirm analysis													
Differential function													
Responsiveness	Fair	Fair	Fair	Fair	Poor		Fair	Poor	Poor	Poor			
% missing items	3	3	3	3	3		3	3	3	3			
Handling items	2	2	2	2	2		4	2	2	2			
Sample size	2	3	3	3	1		3	1	1	1			
Two measurements	4	4	4	4	4		4	4	4	4			
Time interval stated	4	4	4	4	4		4	4	4	4			
Anything occurred	3	2	2	3	2		2	3	2	2			
Patient changed	3	3	4	3	3		3	3	3	3			
Hypotheses <i>a priori</i>	n/a	n/a	4	n/a	n/a		n/a	4	n/a	n/a			
Direction correlation	n/a	n/a	4	n/a	n/a		n/a	4	n/a	n/a			
Magnitude correlation	n/a	n/a	3	n/a	n/a		n/a	4	n/a	n/a			
Comparator instrument	n/a	n/a	4	n/a	n/a		n/a	4	n/a	n/a			
Properties comparator	n/a	n/a	4	n/a	n/a		n/a	4	n/a	n/a			
Flaws in design	n/a	n/a	4	n/a	n/a		n/a	4	n/a	n/a			
Statistical methods	n/a	n/a	3	n/a	n/a		n/a	4	n/a	n/a			
Gold standard	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a		n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a			
Flaws in design	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a		n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a			
Area under the cruve calculated	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a		n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a			
Sensitivity/Specificity Interpretability	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a		n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a			
% missing items		3											
Handling items		2											
Sample size		3											
Distribution total score		4											
Floor effect		3											
Ceiling effect		4											
Change scores		4											
Minimal important change		4*											
Flaws in design		2											

PRWE, Patient-Rated Wrist Evaluation; DASH, Disabilities of Arm, Shoulder and Hand; MHQ, Michigan Hand Questionnaire; SF-36, Short Form-36; PEM, Patient Evaluation Measure; AIMS2, Arthritis Impact Measurement Scale; BWH-CTQ, Brigham and Women’s Hospital Carpal Tunnel Questionnaire; IOF-WFQ International Osteoporosis Foundation Wrist Fracture Questionnaire; PFW, Patient Focused Wrist Outcome Instrument; TSK, Tampa Scale of Kinesophobia; CAT, Catastrophizing Subscale of the Coping Strategies Questionnaire; SES Self-Efficacy Scale

## References

- Gabl M, Krappinger D, Arora R, et al.** Acceptance of patient-related evaluation of wrist function following distal radius fracture (DRF). *Handchir Mikrochir Plast Chir* 2007;39:68-72. (In German).
- Hemelaers L, Angst F, Drerup S, Simmen BR, Wood-Dauphinee S.** Reliability and validity of the German version of "the Patient-rated Wrist Evaluation (PRWE)" as an outcome measure of wrist pain and disability in patients with acute distal radius fractures. *J Hand Ther* 2008;21:366-376.
- MacDermid JC, Turgeon T, Richards RS, Beadle M, Roth JH.** Patient rating of wrist pain and disability: a reliable and valid measurement tool. *J Orthop Trauma* 1998;12:577-586.
- MacDermid JC, Richards RS, Donner A, Bellamy N, Roth JH.** Responsiveness of the short form-36, disability of the arm, shoulder, and hand questionnaire, patient-rated wrist evaluation, and physical impairment measurements in evaluating recovery after a distal radius fracture. *J Hand Surg Am* 2000;25:330-340.
- Wilcke MT, Abbaszadegan H, Adolphson PY.** Evaluation of a Swedish version of the patient-rated wrist evaluation outcome questionnaire: good responsiveness, validity, and reliability, in 99 patients recovering from a fracture of the distal radius. *Scand J Plast Reconstr Surg Hand Surg* 2009;43:94-101.
- Lövgren A, Hellström K.** Reliability and validity of measurement and associations between disability and behavioural factors in patients with Colles' fracture. *Physiother Theory Pract* 2012;28:188-197.
- Mehta SP, Mhatre B, MacDermid JC, Mehta A.** Cross-cultural adaptation and psychometric testing of the Hindi version of the patient-rated wrist evaluation. *J Hand Ther* 2012;25:65-77.
- Schønnemann JO, Hansen TB, Søballe K.** Translation and validation of the Danish version of the Patient Rated Wrist Evaluation questionnaire. *J Plast Surg Hand Surg* 2013;47:489-492.
- Kim JK, Kang JS.** Evaluation of the Korean version of the patient-rated wrist evaluation. *J Hand Ther* 2013;26:238-243.
- Walenkamp MM, de Muinck Keizer RJ, Goslings JC, et al.** The Minimum Clinically Important Difference of the Patient-rated Wrist Evaluation Score for Patients With Distal Radius Fractures. *Clin Orthop Relat Res* 2015;473:3235-3241.
- Westphal T, Piatek S, Schubert S, Schuschke T, Winckler S.** Reliability and validity of the upper limb DASH questionnaire in patients with distal radius fractures. *Z Orthop Ihre Grenzgeb* 2002;140:447-451. (In German.)
- Westphal T.** Reliability and responsiveness of the German version of the Disabilities of the Arm, Shoulder and Hand questionnaire (DASH). *Unfallchirurg* 2007;110:548-552. (In German.)
- Kotsis SV, Lau FH, Chung KC.** Responsiveness of the Michigan Hand Outcomes Questionnaire and physical measurements in outcome studies of distal radius fracture treatment. *J Hand Surg Am* 2007;32:84-90.
- Shauver MJ, Chung KC.** The minimal clinically important difference of the Michigan hand outcomes questionnaire. *J Hand Surg Am* 2009;34:509-514.
- Waljee JF, Kim HM, Burns PB, Chung KC.** Development of a brief, 12-item version of the Michigan Hand Questionnaire. *Plast Reconstr Surg* 2011;128:208-220.
- Amadio PC, Silverstein MD, Ilstrup DM, Schleck CD, Jensen LM.** Outcome after Colles fracture: the relative responsiveness of three questionnaires and physical examination measures. *J Hand Surg Am* 1996;21:781-787.
- Forward DP, Sithole JS, Davis TR.** The internal consistency and validity of the Patient Evaluation Measure for outcomes assessment in distal radius fractures. *J Hand Surg Eur Vol* 2007;32:262-267.
- Lips P, Cooper C, Agnusdei D, et al.** Quality of life in patients with vertebral fractures: validation of the Quality of Life Questionnaire of the European Foundation for Osteoporosis (QUALEFFO). Working Party for Quality of Life of the European Foundation for Osteoporosis. *Osteoporos Int* 1999;10:150-160.
- Bialocerkowski AE, Grimmer KA, Bain GI.** Validity of the patient-focused wrist outcome instrument: do impairments represent functional ability? *Hand Clin* 2003;19:449-455.
- Goldhahn J, Shisha T, Macdermid JC, Goldhahn S.** Multilingual cross-cultural adaptation of the patient-rated wrist evaluation (PRWE) into Czech, French, Hungarian, Italian, Portuguese (Brazil), Russian and Ukrainian. *Arch Orthop Trauma Surg* 2013;133:589-593.
- Easterbrook PJ, Berlin JA, Gopalan R, Matthews DR.** Publication bias in clinical research. *Lancet* 1991;337:867-72.
- Green A, Liles C, Rushton A, Kyte DG.** Measurement properties of patient-reported outcome measures (PROMS) in Patellofemoral Pain Syndrome: a systematic review. *Man Ther* 2014;19:517-526.
- Grevnerts HT, Terwee CB, Kvist J.** The measurement properties of the IKDC-subjective knee form. *Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc* 2015;23:3698-3706.